

गुसकुल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालय रावपुर से संबंध गुरुकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

संचालित भातखण्डे ललितकला शिक्षा समिति, गांधी चौक, रावपुर (छत्तीसगढ़) पंजीवन क्रं. 16/51-52

| Students overall Eva | luation of the | Programn | ne and T | eaching |
|--|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Department: Commeyo | e | Course: | Bcom C | C-S.) |
| Student Name: Yukth | Sahu s | Year : | 1st | |
| Your responses finalised and recorded. The in course and teaching in future. | will be seen only formation will be u | | | |
| You need not disclose your nan | ne if you do not wis | h to. | # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | |
| You may tick more than one an each other. | swer to a question t | o the extent t | hat they do | not contradict |
| 1. The syllabus of each subject | course was | | ing ob swill | |
| (a) Adequate | b) Inadequate | c) Cha | llenging | d) Dull |
| 2. Background for benefiting fro | om the course was | | | |
| a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | c) Inac | lequate | d) Cannot say |
| 3. Was the course easy or diffic | ult to understand? | | | lije i |
| (a) Easy | b) Manageable | c) Diff | icult | d) Very Difficult |
| 4. How much of the syllabus wa | as covered in the clas | ss? | | |
| a) 85 to 100% | by 70 to 85% | c) 55 t | o 70% | d) less than 55% |
| 5. What is your opinion about the | Library material an | d facilities fo | r the course? | salt 71 |
| More than adequate | b) Adequate | c) Inac | lequate | d) Very poor |
| 6. To what extent were you able | to get material for th | e prescribed i | eadings? | |
| a) Easily | | b) Wit | h some diffic | culty |
| c) Not available at | all | d) Wit | h great diffic | ulty |
| 7. How well did the teacher prepare | are for the classes? | 110 | | |
| (a) Thoroughly b) | Satisfactorily c | e) Poorly | d) Ir | ndifferently |
| 8. How well was the teacher able | to communicate? | | | # 3. 8 |
| Always effective | b |) sometimes | effective | |

d) generally ineffective

c) Just satisfactorily

| 9. How far the teacher encourages student partic | cipation in class? | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| a) Mostly yes b) Sometimes | c) Not at all | Always | | |
| 10. If yes, which of the following methods were | used? | | | |
| a) Encouraged to raise questions | b) Get involved i | n discussion in class | | |
| Encourage discussion outside class | d) Did not encoun | rage | | |
| 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? | | | | |
| (a) Very helpful | b) Someti | mes helpful | | |
| c) Not at all helpful | d) Did no | t advise | | |
| 12. The teacher's approach can best be described | as | | | |
| a) Always courteous | b) Someti | mes rude | | |
| c) Always indifferent | d) Cannot | say | | |
| 13. Internal assessment was | | Chinamae new actinos | | |
| a) Always fair | b) Someti | mes unfair | | |
| d) Sometimes fair | c) Usually unfair | | | |
| 14. What effect do you think the internal assessm | nent will have on you | r course grade? | | |
| (a) Helps to improve | b) Discouraging | | | |
| c) No special effect | d) Sometimes effective | | | |
| 15. How often did the teacher provide feedback of | on your performance? | bu stati terrai (a | | |
| a) Regularly/in time | b) With he | elpful comment | | |
| c) Often/late | d) Withou | t any comments | | |
| 16. Were your assignments discussed with you? | | | | |
| (a) Yes, fully (b) Yes, partly (c) 1 | Not discussed at all | (d) Sometimes discussed | | |
| 17. Were you provided with a course contributor | y lecture too at the be | ginning? | | |
| (a) Yes (b) No | | | | |
| 18. If you have other comments to offer on the code do so in the space given below or on a separate | ourse and suggestion t | for the teacher you may | | |
| Yes, Improve | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ASUSURUR | | | | |



गुरुकुल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालव रावपुर से संबंध गुरूकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

| Students overall Eva | luation of the | Programme a | nd Teaching |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Department : Commence | 2 | Course:B | com [W.C] |
| Student Name: Deepika | Vishwaksma | Year :1.81. | year. |
| Your responses finalised and recorded. The in course and teaching in future. | | | e results have been improvement of the |
| You need not disclose your nan | ne if you do not wis | h to. | File Processes description |
| You may tick more than one an each other. | swer to a question t | to the extent that th | ney do not contradict |
| 1. The syllabus of each subject | course was | you file? the latest | 14. Whet office the |
| a) Adequate | b) Inadequate | c) Challengi | ng d) Dull |
| 2. Background for benefiting fr | om the course was | | |
| a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | c) Inadequat | d) Cannot say |
| 3. Was the course easy or diffic | ult to understand? | | hálugati lu |
| a) Easy | b) Manageable | c) Difficult | d) Very Difficult |
| 4. How much of the syllabus wa | as covered in the cla | ss? | |
| a) 85 to 100% | b) 70 to 85% | c) 55 to 70% | d) less than 55% |
| 5. What is your opinion about the | e Library material ar | nd facilities for the | course? |
| a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | c) Inadequat | d) Very poor |
| 6. To what extent were you able | to get material for th | ne prescribed readin | gs? |
| a) Easily | | b) With som | e difficulty |
| c) Not available a | t all | d) With grea | at difficulty |
| 7. How well did the teacher prep | are for the classes? | | |
| a) Thoroughly b) | Satisfactorily | c) Poorly | d) Indifferently |
| 8. How well was the teacher able | e to communicate? | | All management 1 |
| a) Always effective | The second second second | b) sometimes effect | ive |
| c) Just satisfactorily | | d) generally ineffec | tive |

| 9. How far the teacher | encourages student par | ticipation in class? | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|-------------------------|--|
| a) Mostly yes | b) Sometimes | c) Not at all | d) Always | |
| 10. If yes, which of the | e following methods we | re used? | | |
| a) Encouraged | to raise questions | b) Get involved in d | iscussion in class | |
| c) Encourage d | iscussion outside class | d) Did not encourag | е | |
| 11. How helpful was th | ne teacher in advising? | | | |
| va) Very helpful | | b) Sometime | es helpful | |
| c) Not at all he | lpful | d) Did not ac | ivise | |
| 12. The teacher's appro | oach can best be describ | ped as | | |
| (a) Always cour | teous | b) Sometime | es rude | |
| c) Always indi | fferent | d) Cannot sa | у | |
| 13. Internal assessmen | t was | | 6 | |
| a) Always fair | | b) Sometime | es unfair | |
| d) Sometimes | fair | c) Usually u | nfair | |
| 14. What effect do you | think the internal asses | ssment will have on your c | ourse grade? | |
| a) Helps to imp | prove | b) Discouraging | | |
| c) No special e | ffect | d) Sometimes effective | | |
| 15. How often did the | teacher provide feedbac | ck on your performance? | | |
| a) Regularly/in | time | b) With help | oful comment | |
| c) Often/late | 38 | d) Without a | any comments | |
| 16. Were your assignment | nents discussed with yo | u? | | |
| (a) Yes, fully | (b) Yes, partly (| (c) Not discussed at all | (d) Sometimes discussed | |
| 17. Were you provided | d with a course contribu | atory lecture too at the begi | nning? | |
| (a) Yes | (b) No | | | |
| | comments to offer on the given below or on a sep | e course and suggestion fo parate sheet | r the teacher you may | |
| No | | nments | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |



गुरुकुल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालव रावपुर से संबंध गुरुकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

| | Students overall Eval | luation of the | Pro | gramme and | 1 Teaching | |
|-----|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----|
| De | partment : Commence | S | Cours | se: B. com | 187 (MC) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Stı | ident Name: Charelyialay | vern | Year | : .2022 | | |
| | Vour responses | will he seen onl | v afte | r vour course i | results have been | |
| | alised and recorded. The in- | | | | | |
| Y | ou need not disclose your nan | ne if you do not w | ish to | LL or the Line of | | |
| | ou may tick more than one and | swer to a question | to the | e extent that they | do not contradict | |
| 1. | The syllabus of each subject / | course was | | ade designate de | | |
| | a) Adequate | b) Inadequate | | c) Challenging | d) Dull | |
| 2. | Background for benefiting fro | om the course was | | | | |
| | a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | | c) Inadequate | d) Cannot say | |
| 3. | Was the course easy or diffic | ult to understand? | | | agest (a | 4 |
| | a) Easy | (b) Manageabl | le | c) Difficult | d) Very Diffic | alt |
| 4. | How much of the syllabus wa | as covered in the c | lass? | | | |
| | a) 85 to 100% | (b) 70 to 85% | | c) 55 to 70% | d) less than 55 | % |
| 5. | What is your opinion about the | Library material | and fa | cilities for the cou | irse? | |
| | a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | | c) Inadequate | d) Very poor | |
| 6. | To what extent were you able | to get material for | the pr | escribed readings | ? | |
| | a) Easily | | | b) With some | difficulty | |
| | c) Not available at | all | | d) With great of | lifficulty | |
| 7. | How well did the teacher prepare | are for the classes | ? | | T. Mark | |
| | a) Thoroughly b) | Satisfactorily | c) Po | orly | d) Indifferently | |
| 8. | How well was the teacher able | to communicate? | | | | |
| | a) Always effective | | b) so | metimes effective | • | |
| | c) Just satisfactorily | | d) generally ineffective | | | |

| b) Someting d) Did not b) Someting d) Cannot | mes helpful advise mes rude say |
|--|---|
| b) Someting d) Cannot b) Someting d) | mes helpful advise mes rude say |
| b) Someting d) Cannot b) Someting d) Cannot b) Someting d) Cannot b) Someting d) | mes helpful advise mes rude say |
| b) Someting d) Did not b) Someting d) Cannot b) Someting d) | mes helpful advise mes rude say |
| d) Did not b) Sometin d) Cannot b) Sometin | advise mes rude say |
| d) Did not b) Sometin d) Cannot b) Sometin | advise mes rude say |
| b) Someting d) Cannot b) Someting | mes rude say |
| d) Cannot b) Sometic | say |
| d) Cannot b) Sometic | say |
| b) Someti | and hos buse not |
| | |
| | 4 4 |
| c) Usually | mes unfair |
| | unfair |
| will have on you | r course grade? |
| b) Discou | raging |
| d) Someti | mes effective |
| our performance | ? Head telling |
| _b) With h | elpful comment |
| d) Withou | it any comments |
| | |
| discussed at all | (d) Sometimes discussed |
| cture too at the b | eginning? |
| | |
| neet Provide | for the teacher you may book ke book |
| | d) Without discussed at all cture too at the best earnd suggestion heet |



A STATE A COLUMN ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF

सकुल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालव रावपुर से संबंध गुरुकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

संचालित भातखण्डे ललितकला शिक्षा समिति, गांधी चौक, रावपुर (छत्तीसगढ़) पंजीवन क्रं. 16/51-52

| S | tudents overall Evalu | ation of the l | Programm | e and Tea | nching |
|-------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | rtment:Commlull | | | | |
| Stude | ent Name: Paulavi. C | hannuwell Y | ear :2C | 22 | er and all |
| | Your responses wised and recorded. The infose and teaching in future. | ill be seen only ormation will be | after your co used only for | urse results the improve | s have been ement of the |
| You | need not disclose your name | if you do not wis | h to. | | |
| | may tick more than one answ other. | wer to a question t | o the extent th | at they do no | ot contradict |
| 1. T | The syllabus of each subject /c | ourse was | | poy of the file | |
| a |) Adequate | b) Inadequate | c) Chall | enging | d) Dull |
| 2. E | Background for benefiting from | n the course was | | | |
| а | n) More than adequate | .b) Adequate | c) Inade | equate | d) Cannot say |
| 3. \ | Was the course easy or difficu | It to understand? | | | TUL. |
| 8 | a) Easy | b) Manageable | c) Diffi | cult | d) Very Difficult |
| 4. I | How much of the syllabus was | s covered in the cla | ss? | | |
| | a) 85 to 100% | b) 70 to 85% | c) 55 to | 70% | d) less than 55% |
| 5. W | That is your opinion about the | Library material a | nd facilities for | the course? | |
| | a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | c) Inad | | d) Very poor |
| 6. T | o what extent were you able to | o get material for t | he prescribed r | eadings? | |
| | a) Easily | | | h some diffic | ulty |
| | c) Not available at | all | d) Wit | h great diffic | ulty |
| 7. H | low well did the teacher prepa | re for the classes? | | | |
| | | Satisfactorily | c) Poorly | d) In | differently |
| 8. F | Yow well was the teacher able | to communicate? | | | |
| | A) Always effective | | b) sometimes | effective | |
| | c) Just satisfactorily | | d) generally in | neffective | |

c) Just satisfactorily

| 9. How far the teacher encourages student partic | ipation in class? |
|---|---|
| a) Mostly yes b) Sometimes | c) Not at all d) Always |
| 10. If yes, which of the following methods were | used? |
| a) Encouraged to raise questions | b) Get involved in discussion in class |
| c) Encourage discussion outside class | d) Did not encourage |
| 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? | |
| a) Very helpful | b) Sometimes helpful |
| c) Not at all helpful | d) Did not advise |
| 12. The teacher's approach can best be described | as |
| a) Always courteous | b) Sometimes rude |
| c) Always indifferent | d) Cannot say |
| 13. Internal assessment was | Converte story value anniente tres basis on Y |
| a) Always fair | b) Sometimes unfair |
| d) Sometimes fair | c) Usually unfair |
| 14. What effect do you think the internal assessm | nent will have on your course grade? |
| a) Helps to improve | b) Discouraging |
| c) No special effect | d) Sometimes effective |
| 15. How often did the teacher provide feedback | on your performance? |
| a) Regularly/in time | b) With helpful comment |
| c) Often/ late | d) Without any comments |
| 16. Were your assignments discussed with you? | |
| (a) Yes, fully (b) Yes, partly (c) | Not discussed at all (d) Sometimes discussed |
| 17. Were you provided with a course contributor | ry lecture too at the beginning? |
| (a) Yes (b) No | |
| 18. If you have other comments to offer on the comments do so in the space given below or on a separate | ate sheet |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



गुरुकुल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालव रावपुर से संबंध गुरुकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

| Students overall Evaluatio | n of the Pro | gramme and ' | Teaching |
|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Department: Commexce | Cours | se: B. Cam | (wc) |
| Student Name: Vidn Kaushik | | | |
| Your responses will be finalised and recorded. The informaticourse and teaching in future. | seen only afte on will be used | r your course res | sults have been rovement of the |
| You need not disclose your name if you | u do not wish to. | | of laminimus. |
| You may tick more than one answer to each other. | a question to the | e extent that they d | o not contradict |
| 1. The syllabus of each subject /course | was | | |
| a) Adequate | Inadequate | c) Challenging | d) Dull |
| 2. Background for benefiting from the | course was | | |
| a) More than adequate b) | Adequate | c) Inadequate | d) Cannot say |
| 3. Was the course easy or difficult to un | nderstand? | | 10 E |
| a) Easy b) | Manageable | c) Difficult | d) Very Difficult |
| 4. How much of the syllabus was cover | red in the class? | minds er sam giren | |
| a) 85 to 100% b) | 70 to 85% | c) 55 to 70% | d) less than 55% |
| 5. What is your opinion about the Librar | y material and fa | cilities for the cour | se? |
| a) More than adequate _b) | Adequate | c) Inadequate | d) Very poor |
| 6. To what extent were you able to get n | naterial for the pr | escribed readings? | |
| a) Easily | | b) With some di | fficulty |
| c) Not available at all | A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR | d) With great di | fficulty |
| 7. How well did the teacher prepare for | the classes? | KUNMU | |
| a) Thoroughly b) Satisfac | ctorily c) Po | oorly d |) Indifferently |
| 8. How well was the teacher able to com | nmunicate? | | |
| a) Always effective | b) so | ometimes effective | |
| c) Just satisfactorily | d) ge | enerally ineffective | |

| 9. How far the teacher enco | ourages student partic | cipation in class? | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| a) Mostly yes | b) Sometimes | c) Not at all | d) Always | | |
| 10. If yes, which of the follo | owing methods were | used? | | | |
| a) Encouraged to rai | se questions | b) Get involved in | discussion in class | | |
| c) Encourage discus | sion outside class | d) Did not encoura | age | | |
| 11. How helpful was the tea | acher in advising? | | | | |
| a) Very helpful | | b) Sometimes helpful | | | |
| c) Not at all helpful | | d) Did not | advise | | |
| 12. The teacher's approach | can best be described | l as | | | |
| a) Always courteous | 3 . | b) Sometin | nes rude | | |
| c) Always indifferen | nt | d) Cannot | say | | |
| 13. Internal assessment was | ne dans to so of | | divide los lisens and | | |
| a) Always fair | | b) Sometin | nes unfair | | |
| d) Sometimes fair | | c) Usually unfair | | | |
| 14. What effect do you thin | k the internal assessr | nent will have on your | course grade? | | |
| a) Helps to improve | | b) Discouraging | | | |
| c) No special effect | | d) Sometimes effective | | | |
| 15. How often did the teach | er provide feedback | on your performance? | | | |
| a) Regularly/in time | Thinks | b) With he | lpful comment | | |
| c) Often/late | | d) Without | any comments | | |
| 16. Were your assignments | discussed with you? | | | | |
| (a) Yes, fully (b) | es, partly (c) | Not discussed at all | (d) Sometimes discussed | | |
| 17. Were you provided with | a course contributor | ry lecture too at the be | ginning? | | |
| (a) Yes | (b) No | | | | |
| 18. If you have other comm do so in the space given | ents to offer on the c below or on a separa | course and suggestion f | or the teacher you may | | |
| No Other | 1 Comen | <u> </u> | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



c) Just satisfactorily

गुरुकुल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालव रावपुर से संबंध गुरुकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

संचालित भातखण्डे ललितकला शिक्षा समिति, गांधी चौक, रावपुर (छत्तीसगढ़) पंजीवन क्रं. 16/51-52

| Students overall Evalu | ation of th | e Pro | gramme a | nd Tea | aching |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Department:Commen | ce | Course | : Co | m. 1 | in year (|
| Student Name: Mani Hel | | | | | |
| Your responses will finalised and recorded. The inforcourse and teaching in future. | l be seen on mation will b | ly after e used | your course only for the | results improve | have been ment of the |
| You need not disclose your name i | f you do not w | vish to. | | | |
| You may tick more than one answe each other. | | | extent that th | ey do no | t contradict |
| 1. The syllabus of each subject /cou | irse was | | | | |
| a) Adequate | b) Inadequate | 1.0 | c) Challengir | ıg | d) Dull |
| 2. Background for benefiting from t | the course was | | Legistra (E.) | zm sid i | |
| a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | | c) Inadequate | | d) Cannot say |
| 3. Was the course easy or difficult to | o understand? | | iself es vi | | |
| a) Easy | b) Manageabl | е | d) Difficult | | d) Very Difficult |
| 4. How much of the syllabus was co | overed in the cl | lass? | | | |
| a) 85 to 100% | (b) 70 to 85% | | c) 55 to 70% | | d) less than 55% |
| 5. What is your opinion about the Lib | orary material a | and facil | ities for the co | | mW 51 |
| a) More than adequate | | | | | d) Very poor |
| 6. To what extent were you able to ge | | | | | |
| a) Easily | | | b) With some | | v |
| c) Not available at all | | | d) With great | 1 0 | MY |
| 7. How well did the teacher prepare for | or the classes? | | | | |
| a) Thoroughly b) Satis | factorily | c) Poor | ly | d) Indif | ferently |
| 8. How well was the teacher able to co | ommunicate? | (- market | | | |
| a) Always effective | | b) some | times effectiv | е | |

d) generally ineffective

| 9. How far the teacher encourages student part | icipation in class? | | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| a) Mostly yes b) Sometimes | c) Not at all | d) Always | |
| 10. If yes, which of the following methods wer | e used? | | |
| Encouraged to raise questions | b) Get involved in | discussion in class | |
| c) Encourage discussion outside class | d) Did not encour | age | |
| 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? | | | |
| a) Very helpful | b) Someting | mes helpful | |
| c) Not at all helpful | d) Did not advise | | |
| 12. The teacher's approach can best be describe | d as | | |
| a) Always courteous | b) Sometin | mes rude | |
| c) Always indifferent | d) Cannot | say | |
| 13. Internal assessment was | | d allbure have as Silve | |
| a) Always fair | b) Sometin | nes unfair | |
| d) Sometimes fair | c) Usually unfair | | |
| 14. What effect do you think the internal assess | ment will have on your | course grade? | |
| a) Helps to improve | b) Discour | aging | |
| c) No special effect | d) Sometimes effective | | |
| 15. How often did the teacher provide feedback | on your performance? | | |
| ^a) Regularly/in time | b) With he | lpful comment | |
| c) Often/ late | d) Without | any comments | |
| 16. Were your assignments discussed with you? | | | |
| (a) Yes, fully (b) Yes, partly (c) | Not discussed at all | (d) Sometimes discussed | |
| 17. Were you provided with a course contributor | ry lecture too at the beg | | |
| (a) Yes (b) No | all and | tu sphysik (a | |
| 18. If you have other comments to offer on the | ate sheet | | |
| No, other Commen | | | |
| | | , | |
| CALCOLOGICA ST | | | |
| | | | |
| *************************************** | | | |



गुरुकुल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालव रावपुर से संबंध गुरुकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

| Students overall Evaluation of t | he Programme and | Teaching | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Department : Commerce | Course: | 15th. (w.c.) | |
| Student Name: Kavita Sahu. | | | |
| Your responses will be seen of finalised and recorded. The information will course and teaching in future. | | | |
| You need not disclose your name if you do no | t wish to. | | |
| You may tick more than one answer to a quest each other. | ion to the extent that they | do not contradict | |
| 1. The syllabus of each subject /course was | a handliged said now character | | |
| a) Adequate b) Inadequ | ate c) Challenging | d) Dull | |
| 2. Background for benefiting from the course v | vas | | |
| a) More than adequate b) Adequa | te c) Inadequate | d) Cannot say | |
| 3. Was the course easy or difficult to understan | id? | | |
| a) Easy b) Manage | able O'Difficult | d) Very Difficult | |
| 4. How much of the syllabus was covered in th | e class? | | |
| a) 85 to 100% b) 70 to 85 | s% c) 55 to 70% | d) less than 55% | |
| 5. What is your opinion about the Library mater | ial and facilities for the cou | rse? | |
| a) More than adequate b) Adequa | te c) Inadequate | d) Very poor | |
| 6. To what extent were you able to get material | for the prescribed readings? | esan unçai Al | |
| a) Easily | b) With some of | lifficulty | |
| c) Not available at all | d) With great d | ifficulty | |
| 7. How well did the teacher prepare for the class | ses? | | |
| a) Thoroughly b) Satisfactorily | c) Poorly | d) Indifferently | |
| 8. How well was the teacher able to communicate | ite? | | |
| a) Always effective | b) sometimes effective | | |
| c) Just satisfactorily | d) generally ineffective | d) generally ineffective | |

| 9. How far the teacher encourages stud | ent participation in class? | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|
| a) Mostly yes | imes c) Not at all | d) Always | |
| 10. If yes, which of the following method | ods were used? | | |
| a) Encouraged to raise questions | b) Get involved in | b) Get involved in discussion in class | |
| c) Encourage discussion outside | class d) Did not encour | d) Did not encourage | |
| 11. How helpful was the teacher in advi | ising? | | |
| a) Very helpful | (b) Someti | mes helpful | |
| c) Not at all helpful | d) Did not | d) Did not advise | |
| 12. The teacher's approach can best be | described as | | |
| a) Always courteous | b) Someti | b) Sometimes rude | |
| c) Always indifferent | d) Cannot | d) Cannot say | |
| 13. Internal assessment was | | dudisher have al- | |
| a) Always fair | b) Someti | b) Sometimes unfair | |
| d) Sometimes fair | c) Usually | c) Usually unfair | |
| 14. What effect do you think the interna | al assessment will have on your | r course grade? | |
| (a) Helps to improve | b) Discour | b) Discouraging | |
| c) No special effect | d) Sometin | d) Sometimes effective | |
| 15. How often did the teacher provide f | eedback on your performance? | cho med and the | |
| (a) Regularly/in time | b) With he | b) With helpful comment | |
| c) Often/late | d) Withou | d) Without any comments | |
| 16. Were your assignments discussed w | rith you? | | |
| (a) Yes, fully (b) Yes, partly | (c) Not discussed at all | (d) Sometimes discussed | |
| 17. Were you provided with a course co | ntributory lecture too at the be | | |
| 7.5.22 |) No | do part make to | |
| | a separate sheet | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



गुरुकूल महिला महाविद्यालय

छत्तीसगढ़ शासन तथा पंडित रविशंकर शुक्ल विश्वविद्यालव रावपुर से संबंध गुरुकुल परिसर, कालीबाड़ी रोड, रावपुर (छ.ग.) ई-मेल : info@gurukulraipur.com

| Students overall Eva | luation of the P | rogramme and T | Teaching |
|--|-------------------------|--|--|
| Department: S. Clenc | L Co | urse: BSC | illulai welf 11 |
| Student Name:Sumam. | SethYe | ar : II nd | INVESTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF TH |
| Your responses finalised and recorded. The in course and teaching in future. | | fter your course resu ed only for the impro | |
| You need not disclose your nai | me if you do not wish | to. | eas Larrayd El |
| You may tick more than one are each other. | nswer to a question to | the extent that they do | not contradict |
| 1. The syllabus of each subject | /course was | man aft kind gay sk | |
| a) Adequate | b) Inadequate | c) Challenging | d) Dull |
| 2. Background for benefiting fr | om the course was | | |
| a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | c) Inadequate | d) Cannot say |
| 3. Was the course easy or diffic | cult to understand? | | |
| a) Easy | (b) Manageable | c) Difficult | d) Very Difficult |
| 4. How much of the syllabus w | as covered in the class | ? | |
| a) 85 to 100% | b) 70 to 85% | c) 55 to 70% | d) less than 55% |
| 5. What is your opinion about th | e Library material and | facilities for the course | ? |
| a) More than adequate | b) Adequate | c) Inadequate | d) Very poor |
| 6. To what extent were you able | to get material for the | prescribed readings? | |
| a) Easily | | b) With some diff | iculty |
| c) Not available at all | | d) With great difficulty | |
| 7. How well did the teacher prep | pare for the classes? | | |
| Thoroughly b) | Satisfactorily c) | Poorly d) | Indifferently |
| 8. How well was the teacher able | e to communicate? | | |
| a) Always effective | b) | b) sometimes effective | |
| c) Just satisfactorily | | d) generally ineffective | |

| 9. How far the teacher encourages student parti- | icipation in class? | |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| a) Mostly yes b) Sometimes | c) Not at all | d) Always |
| 10. If yes, which of the following methods were | e used? | |
| a) Encouraged to raise questions | b) Get involved in discussion in class | |
| c) Encourage discussion outside class | d) Did not encourage | |
| 11. How helpful was the teacher in advising? | | |
| a) Very helpful | b) Sometimes helpful | |
| c) Not at all helpful | d) Did not advise | |
| 12. The teacher's approach can best be describe | ed as | |
| a) Always courteous | b) Sometimes rude | |
| c) Always indifferent | d) Cannot say | |
| 13. Internal assessment was | | |
| a) Always fair | b) Sometimes unfair | |
| d) Sometimes fair | c) Usually unfair | |
| 14. What effect do you think the internal asses | sment will have on your | course grade? |
| a) Helps to improve | b) Discouraging | |
| c) No special effect | d) Sometimes effective | |
| 15. How often did the teacher provide feedbac | k on your performance? | |
| a) Regularly/in time | b) With helpful comment | |
| c) Often/late | d) Without any comments | |
| 16. Were your assignments discussed with you | u? | |
| (a) Yes, fully (b) Yes, partly (| c) Not discussed at all | (d) Sometimes discussed |
| 17. Were you provided with a course contribu | tory lecture too at the beg | ginning? |
| (a) Yes (b) No | | |
| 18. If you have other comments to offer on the do so in the space given below or on a sep | | for the teacher you may |
| | | |
| N.O15.05 XX | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |